

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/980,976	FILSINGER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stefan Staicovici	1732	

All Participants:

(1) Stefan Staicovici.

(2) Mr. Richard Mayer.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 September 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

7

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner Staicovici indicated that in claim 7, line 2, before "flow", the word "the" has been typed twice and as such it would be deleted. Agreement was reached.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)